

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PORTLAND DIVISION

**HOPE GLENN**

No. 3:08-cv-00950-MO

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

**WASHINGTON COUNTY, et al.,**

Defendants.

**MOSMAN, J..**

The charts on the following pages provide my rulings on the written objections to witness statements and exhibit lists from the parties [150] [151] [159]:

<b>Plaintiff's Objections to Defendants' Witness Statements [151]</b>	
<b>Witness(s) Objected To</b>	<b>Ruling</b>
Deputy Mikhail Gerba	Overruled. This ruling and all other rulings on these objections are conditioned on my order, stated on the record, that defendants provide a detailed witness statement for all witnesses not deposed, and do so by August 13, 2012.
Deputy Timothy Mateski	Overruled
Jim Clarke	Overruled; see ruling on plaintiff's motion in limine #1.
Andrew Pastore	Overruled
Joe Yazzolino	Overruled
Jim Rue	Overruled
Detective Rich Musser	Overruled
Peter Richter and Peter Haslet	Overruled
Troy Spisla and Don Frank	Overruled
Elizabeth Wessinger	Overruled
Larry Lewman	Overruled
Rob Gordon	Overruled
Patrick Brady	Overruled
Experts who have not had their reports filed	Sustained as to Greg Stutchman and otherwise overruled; see ruling on defendants' motion for leave to file expert reports.
John Black	Sustained in part; see ruling on plaintiff's motion in limine #5.

<b>Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Exhibits [159]</b>	
<b>Exhibit(s) Objected To</b>	<b>Ruling</b>
16,17	Overruled as moot
49	Ruling deferred; see ruling on defendants' motion in limine #7
55, 58, 60, 76, 77, 78	Overruled as moot with respect to Exhibit 60. Overruled as to Exhibit 58. Sustained as to Exhibits 55, 76, 77, 78. See ruling on defendants' motion in limine #7
66	Overruled
68	Overruled
92-95	Overruled
99	Overruled
102	Overruled
114-126	Sustained
127	Sustained
128	Overruled
130-145	Overruled, with the condition that proper foundation must be put forth at trial as to Exhibit 145.
146	Sustained, with the condition that proper foundation must be put forth at trial.

<b>Plaintiff's Objections to Defendants' Exhibits [150]</b>	
<b>(Renumbered) Exhibit(s) Objected To</b>	<b>Ruling</b>
501, 577–580	Overruled
504, 505, 508, 530–534	Overruled in part and sustained in part, per ruling on plaintiff's motion in limine #1.
509, 510	Overruled in part and sustained in part with respect to Exhibit 509, and overruled with leave to renew as to Exhibit 510, as stated on the record.
512, 513, 543, 506	Overruled as moot with respect to 512, 513, and 543. Overruled as to Exhibit 506, with the condition that proper foundation be put forth at trial.
540, 541	Sustained
542, 543, 575	Sustained as to Exhibits 543, 575. Overruled as moot as to Exhibit 542
544	Sustained
522, 523, 525, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 565	Overruled, per ruling on plaintiff's motion in limine #1.
520, 524, 527–529	Overruled

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 10th day of August, 2012.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman  
 MICHAEL W. MOSMAN  
 United States District Court